

THE CONSEQUENCE MULTIPLIER™

Four Questions That Transform Any Audit Finding Into Executive Action

Most audit findings are ignored not because the problem is unimportant — but because the Consequence was written too vaguely for executives to feel the urgency.

Run every Consequence statement through these four questions before you submit.

#	THE QUESTION	WHAT TO LOOK FOR	EXAMPLE (IAM Finding)
1	<p>What specifically could go wrong?</p> <p><i>Not 'unauthorized access' — which data, which systems, which users?</i></p>	<p>Name the data type at risk: PII, financial records, health data, intellectual property.</p> <p>Name the specific systems: Active Directory, ERP, payment processing, customer database.</p> <p>Identify which users or roles are exposed.</p>	<p><i>"The 520 excess accounts and 54 unauthorized accounts represent active attack vectors providing potential access to financial records, PII, and proprietary business data."</i></p>
2	<p>What does it cost?</p> <p><i>Find a published figure. Cite your source.</i></p>	<p>IBM Cost of a Data Breach Report (annual)</p> <p>Verizon Data Breach Investigations Report (annual)</p> <p>Regulator penalty schedules (PIPEDA, HIPAA, GDPR, PCI-DSS)</p> <p>Industry-specific breach cost studies</p> <p>Use real numbers. Cite the source.</p>	<p><i>"The average cost of a data breach in Canada in 2024 was \$6.32 million (IBM Cost of a Data Breach Report, 2024), not including reputational damage and customer attrition."</i></p>
3	<p>Is any part of this happening right now?</p> <p><i>Not 'could happen' — is happening.</i></p>	<p>Identify conditions that are active today, not theoretical future risks.</p> <p>Look for: active accounts belonging to terminated employees, systems currently unpatched, data currently exposed, controls currently not operating.</p> <p>Present tense creates urgency. Future tense creates procrastination.</p>	<p><i>"23 accounts belonging to terminated employees are active right now, providing former employees with current access to organizational systems."</i></p>
4	<p>Which regulation or commitment is at risk?</p> <p><i>Name it specifically.</i></p>	<p>Canada: PIPEDA, provincial privacy laws</p> <p>USA: HIPAA, GLBA, SOX, CCPA, state breach laws</p> <p>International: GDPR, ISO 27001 certification</p> <p>Industry: PCI-DSS, CMMC, client contract requirements</p> <p>State the specific penalty or consequence of non-compliance.</p>	<p><i>"The organization processes personal data subject to PIPEDA. A breach resulting from inadequate access controls may require notification to the OPC. Failure to notify carries penalties of up to \$100,000 per violation."</i></p>

Remember: The severity rating (High / Medium / Low) is a label. The Consequence is the argument. A High-rated finding with a one-line Consequence will be ignored. A Medium-rated finding with a specific, documented Consequence will get immediate action. Do not rely on the rating. Make the argument.